

PII: S0960-894X(97)00051-6

Chemical and Enzymatic Synthesis of High-Affinity Selectin Ligands

G. Kuznik^a, B. Hörsch^b, G. Kretzschmar^{b*}, C. Unverzagt^{a,*1}

a) Institut f
ür Organische Chemie und Biochemie, TU M
ünchen, Lichtenbergstraße 4, D-85748 Garching, Germany
b) Corporate Research & Technology Hoechst, G 830, D-65926 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

Abstract: Analogs of sially Lewis^X have been synthesized chemically using donors of modified sialic acids. The sialic acids were obtained enzymatically by an aldolase reaction. The sLe^X tetrasaccharides modified at C-2 of the GlcNAc moiety and at C-5 of the sialic acid residue were tested as inhibitors for E- and P-selectins. Up to 12-fold higher inhibitory potency was found for the *lyso*-derivative of sLeX compared to the parent compound. © 1997 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

The binding of selectins¹, a group of cell surface lectins, to carbohydrate ligands is mediating the attraction of several groups of leukocytes to areas of inflammation. This has stimulated research to investigate the use of carbohydrates and their mimetics² as potential drugs to prevent the adhesion and subsequent migration of leukocytes to the affected tissues in several acute and chronic inflammatory diseases. One of the major ligands of the selectins is believed to be the sialyl Lewis^X tetrasaccharide³ (sLe^X) determinant found on the termini of glycolipids and glycoproteins. In the last years systematic variations of functional groups of sLe^X have led to a detailed knowledge about structure-activity-relationships of the

functional groups involved in binding⁴ to selectins (Figure 1). It is known that the acid function in the sialic acid moiety is crucial and can be replaced, i.e. by sulfate Hogroups⁵. Furthermore, the fucose and some of the galactose hydroxyl groups are essential. However, the receptor affinities in cell-based adhesion assays of the low molecular weight sLe^X mimetics reported thus far, could not be significantly improved compared to the natural ligand. Therefore we investigated the introduction,

Figure 1: Key polar groups for selectin binding

respectively the unmasking of functional groups in the sLe^x tetrasaccharide to increase binding affinity via additional ionic interactions⁶. We focused on the two acetamido groups present and their replacement by hydrogen or amino moieties (Figure 2).

Figure 2: Derivatives of sLe^X tested as ligands for E and P-selectins

¹ Fax: (49) 89-2891-3210; E-mail: cu@linda.org.chemie.tu-muenchen.de

578 G. KUZNIK et al.

The tetrasaccharides **1a-d** were synthesized from readily available building blocks activated as thioglycosides or trichloroacetimidates. Initially, the Le^X trisaccharide was assembled (Figure 3) followed by sialylation with modified sialic acid donors.

Coupling of thioglycoside 2⁷, available in four steps from glucosamine hydrochloride and thioethylfucoside 3, gave the disaccharide 4 in 71 % yield. The fucosyl donor 3 can be selectively activated⁸ in the presence of a thioethyl moiety in the acceptor reflecting the differences in reactivity proposed by the concept of armed and disarmed donors⁹. Subsequently the benzyloxycarbonyl-hexanolamine spacer was introduced by activating disaccharide 4 with NIS-triflic acid¹⁰. Regioselective reduction of the benzylidene acetal 5 according to *Garegg*¹¹ gave the acceptor 6 which was dephthaloylated with ethylene diamine/n-butanol¹². The occurrence of side reactions at the urethane moiety is time dependent. Typically, the deprotection was complete after 4 hrs at 80 °C whereas side products appeared after 8 hrs of reaction time. Chemoselective N-acetylation furnished the acceptor 7 and subsequent elongation with the galactosyl imidate 8¹³ gave the trisaccharide 9. The three acetyl groups were released by *Zemplén* deprotection and the resulting triol 10 was used for regioselective sialylations at position 3¹¹.

Figure 3: a) CuBr₂, Bu₄NBr, DMF-CH₂Cl₂ (71 %); b) NIS, triflic acid, Z-aminohexanol, CH₃CN (89 %); c) NaCNBH₃, HCl-El₂O, THF (75 %); d) 1. ethylene diamine, n-butanol 80 °C, 4h; 2. Ac₂O, methanol, ethyl acetate (98 %); e) BF₃-OEt₂, molecular sieves 4 Å, CH₂Cl₂; f) NaOMe, MeOH (e-f: 47 %); Z = benzyloxycarbonyl-.

The modified sialic acids $12b^{14a}$ and $12c^{14b}$ were obtained enzymatically from 2-deoxy mannose 11b or 2-azido mannose 11c and pyruvate using sialic acid aldolase. Conversion to the thiomethyl donors 13a-c was performed in a three step prodedure¹⁵: acid catalyzed esterification in methanol, followed by acetylation and thiomethylation with TMS-SMe/ TMS-triflate. This reaction sequence afforded the sialyl donors 13a-c in good yields (Figure 4).

Figure 4: a) sialic acid aldolase b) 1. MeOH, H⁺; 2. Ac₂O-pyridine, 3. TMS-SMe, TMS-OTf; **13a** (70 %), **13b** (79 %), **13c** (73 %).

Regioselective α - $(2\rightarrow 3)$ -sialylation of the Le^x trisaccharide 10 was conducted under the conditions described 16 and afforded the tetrasaccharide 14 a in 54 % yield for the N-acetyl neuraminyl donor 13a. For the modified donors 13b and 13c, however, the yields were significantly lower.

Figure 5: a) NIS, triflic acid, CH₃CN, -40 °C (14a: 54 %, 14b: 21 %, 14c: 26 %,); b) 1. NaOMe-MeOH 2. H₂-Pd-MeOH, HCOOH 3. NaOH-H₂O (1a: 70 %; 1b: 72%); c) 1. K₂CO₃-MeOH-H₂O; 2. HCOONH₄-Pd/C(10%)-MeOH; (1b: 81%); d) Bu₄NOH-H₂O, 95 °C, 3d (53 %).

Deprotection of **14b** can be performed under the conditions described for the deblocking of **14a**¹⁷ using a three step procedure with formic acid as the hydrogen donor in the catalytic hydrogenation. The final basic treatment is needed to open the lactone ring that is formed in the deacetylation step. Despite the increased acid sensitivity of the 5-deoxy sialoside **1b** compared to the N-acetyl derivative **1a**, the acidic conditions are well tolerated. However, the neuraminyl compound **1c** decomposes in the presence of formic acid. We found a suitable method to deprotect the highly acid sensitive sialoside **1c** in a mild catalytic transfer hydrogenation with ammonium formate as the hydrogen source¹⁸. In contrast, the base stability of the tetrasaccharide **1a** is very high. Basic hydrolysis¹⁹ of the two acetamides at pH 13 furnishes the *lyso*-sLe^X tetrasaccharide **1d** in 53 % yield after purification²⁰ by Sephadex chromatography. The four tetrasaccharides **1a-d** were then examined for their inhibitory potency²¹ towards E- and P-selectin (Table 1).

	1a	1b	1c	1d
E-selectin IC ₅₀	1000 μΜ	700 μM	900 μΜ	270 μΜ
P-selectin IC ₅₀	2000 μΜ	700 μΜ	1000 μΜ	160 μΜ

Table 1: Inhibition of HL60 cell adhesion to recombinant E- and P-selectin-IgG fusion proteins by synthetic sLe^X tetrasaccharides 1a-d. 1C₅₀ values are concentrations of inhibitors required to block adhesion of 50 % of the cells compared to the negative control²¹.

Upon removal of the acetamido or acetyl group in the sialic acid moiety of 1a, the inhibitory potency of the resulting derivatives 1b and 1c was slightly improved. Surprisingly, the binding affinity of the fully deacetylated *lyso*-tetrasaccharide 1d to P-selectin was significantly enhanced by a factor of 12.5. In contrast, a recent study²² reported that the blocking of immobilized P-selectin-Ig by oligosaccharides related to sLe^X and sLe^A was not enhanced when the GlcNAc residues carried an azido or amino function at C-2. For E-selectin the study found a 6 fold enhanced inhibitory potency of the sLe^X (GlcNH₂) compared to sLe^X in the E-selectin-Ig competitive binding assay, albeit on much lower concentration levels in the cell-free systems used (IC₅₀s of 77 μ M and 380 μ M, respectively). These data are in agreement with the improvement of the IC₅₀ of 1d over the reference compound 1a. Taken together, the data obtained strongly support our view of a synergic effect caused by the two amino groups of the *lyso*-tetrasaccharide 1d resulting in an improved binding to E- and P-Selectin.

References and notes:

- a) S. R. Watson, Adhes. Recept. Ther. Targets, (Ed: A. M. Horton), CRC, Boca Raton, Fla., 1996, 61-73; b) A. Varki, Curr. Opin. Cell. Biol. 1992, 4, 257-266; c) M. P. Bevilaqua, R. M. Nelson, J. Clin. Invest. 1993, 91, 379-387.
- a) S. A. Mousa, Drugs of the Future 1996, 21, 283-289; b) F. Dasgupta, B. N. N. Rao, Exp. Opin. Invest. Drugs 1994, 3, 709-724; c) H. Huang, C.-H. Wong, J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 3100-3106.
- C. Foxall, S. R. Watson, D. Dowbenko, C. Fennie, L. A. Lasky, M. Kiso, A. Hasegawa, D. Asa, B. K. Brandley, J. Cell Biol. 1992, 117, 895-902.
- a) B. K. Brandley, M. Kiso, S. Abbas, P. Nikrad, O. Srivasavata, C. Foxall, Y. Oda, A. Hasegawa, Glycobiology 1993, 12, 633-641; b) C.-H. Wong, R. L. Halcomb, Y. Ichikawa, T. Kajimoto, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 521-546
- a) D. Tyrell, P. James, N. Rao, C. Foxall, S. Abbas, F. Dasgupta, M. Nashed, A. Hasegawa, M. Kiso, D. Asa, J. Kidd, B. K. Brandley, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 1991, 88, 10372-10376; b) U. Ellervik, G. Magnusson, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 1994, 2, 1261-1266.
- M. von Itzstein, W.-Y. Wu, G. B. Kok, M. S. Pegg, J. C. Dyason, B.Jin, T. V. Phan, M. L. Smythe, H. F. White, S. W. Oliver, P. M. Colman, J. N. Varghese, D. M. Ryan, J. M. Woods, R. C. Bethell, V. J. Hotham, J. M. Cameron, C. R. Penn, *Nature* 1993, 363, 418-423.
- 7 H. Lönn, Carbohydr. Res. 1985, 139, 105-113.
- 8 S. Sato, M. Mori, Y. Ito, T. Ogawa, Carbohydr. Res. 1986, 155, C6 C10.
- P. Konradsson, U. E. Udodong, B. Fraser-Reid, Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 4313-4316.
- a) G. H. Veenemann, S. H. van Leuwen, J. H. van Boom, Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 1331-1334. b) P. Konradsson, U. E. Udodong, B. Fraser-Reid, Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 4313-4316.
- P. J. Garegg, H. Hultberg, St. Wallin, Carbohydr. Res. 1982, 108, 97.
- O. Kanie, S. C. Crawley, M. M. Palcic, O. Hindsgaul, Carbohydr. Res. 1993, 243, 139-164.
- a) K. C. Nicolaou, C. W. Hummel, N. J. Bockowich, C.-H. Wong, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1991, 870-872; b) R. R. Schmidt, W. Kinzy in Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem., Vol 50, (Ed.: D. Horton), Academic Press, New York, 1994, 21-123.
- a) C. Augé, C. Gautheron, S. David, Tetrahedron, 1990, 46, 201-204; b) C. Augé, S. David, A. Malleron, Carbohydr. Res. 1989, 188, 201-205; U. Kragl, A. Godde, C. Wandrey, W. Kinzy, J. J. Cappen, J. Lugtenburg, Tetrahedron Asymmetry 1993, 4, 1193-1202.
- 15 A. Hasegawa, H. Ohki, T. Nagahama, H. Ishida, M. Kiso, Carbohydr. Res. 1991, 212, 277-281.
- 16 A. Hasegawa, T. Nagahama, H. Ohki, K. Hotta, H. Ishida, M. Kiso, J. Carbohydr. Chem. 1991, 10, 493-498.
- a) W. Stahl, U. Sprengard, G. Kretzschmar, H. Kunz, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 2096-2098; b) W. Stahl, U. Sprengard, G. Kretzschmar, W. D. Schmidt, H. Kunz, J. Prakt. Chem. 1995, 337, 441-445.
- 18 a) M. K. Anwer, A. F. Spatola, Synthesis 1980, 929-932; b) S. Hanessian, T. J. Liak, B. Vanasse, Synthesis 1980, 396-397.
- 19 a) W. Schmid, L. Z. Avila, K. W. Williams, G. M. Whitesides, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1993, 3, 747-752; b) R. Roy, C. A. Laferriere, Can. J. Chem. 1990, 68, 2045-2054.
- Any contamination of potential selectin antagonists with strong and weak acidic ion exchange resins must be strictly avoided since otherwise false positive results may be produced: "Pitfalls in the Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of Sialyl-Lewis' Mimetics as Potential Selectin Antagonists", G. Kretzschmar, A. Toepfer, C. Hüls, M. Krause, Tetrahedron 1997, in press.
- G. Kretzschmar, U. Sprengard, H. Kunz, E. Bartnik, W. Schmidt, A. Toepfer, B. Hörsch, M. Krause, D. Seiffge, Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 13015-13030.
- 22 R. M. Nelson, S. Dolich, A. Aruffo, O. Ceccioni, M. P. Bevilacqua, J. Clin. Invest. 1993, 91, 1157-1166.